

COUNTY COUNSEL
County of Santa Barbara 2

105 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805) 568-2950 **28** As to Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's Fourth Amendment claim of unlawful arrest, brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the jury rendered a verdict finding that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA's arrest of Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER was lawful.

As to Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's Fourth Amendment claim of excessive force, brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the jury rendered a verdict finding that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA used excessive force against Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER.

As to Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's claim that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA violated his First Amendment right to free speech, brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the jury rendered a verdict finding that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA did not violate Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's First Amendment right.

As to Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's Fourth Amendment claim that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA maliciously prosecuted him, brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the jury rendered a verdict finding that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA did not maliciously prosecute Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER.

The jury further rendered its verdict that Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER suffered damages as follows: economic damages in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) and non-economic damages in the amount of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000).

The jury further rendered its verdict that Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA acted with malice, oppression, or in reckless disregard of Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's rights. The jury assessed zero dollars (\$0) in punitive damages against Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 2 That final judgment, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 3 Rule 54, be entered in favor of Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER on his Fourth 4 Amendment claim for excessive force brought against Defendant DEPUTY 5 FREDDY PADILLA: 6 2. That final judgment, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 7 Rule 54, be entered in favor of Defendant DEPUTY FREDDY PADILLA on 8 Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's Fourth Amendment claims for unlawful 9 arrest and malicious prosecution and on Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER's 10 First Amendment claim for violation of free speech; 11 3. That Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER take damages pursuant to 12 the jury's verdict; and 13 That Plaintiff JAY RUSSELL SHAFER recover his costs of suit 4. 14 other than attorney's fees. 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 18 Dated: December 22, 2014 19 HONORABLE FERNANDO M. OLGUIN United States District Judge 20 Central District of California 21 22 23 24 25 26 28